Situation. A public museum removed a former exhibition page, and a researcher needs to establish the wording and timeline of the old announcement.

Preparation and scope. Know the publicly listed project name and original organization. Distinguish archive snapshot date from the event date.

Procedure.

```
"Example Exhibition Title" "museum"
"Example Exhibition Title" -site:example.com
site:example.com "Example Exhibition Title"
```

1. Record current search hits and original URLs, if discoverable.
2. Check the Internet Archive's Wayback Machine for public captures of the known page.
3. Compare archived wording with press releases, event listings or institutional reports.
4. Document separately: event date, web publication date, archive capture date and today's observation date.

AI prompt.

> Organize the public records I supply into a timeline. Separate event date, original publication date, archive capture date and retrieval date. Flag contradictions and missing primary evidence. Do not assume that an archived page proves an event occurred as described.

Expected evidence. Dated captures or independent references, if available; no guarantee that a capture exists.

Verification. Retain snapshot URL, capture timestamp, exact title and independent corroboration.

Failure modes. Missing archives; recycled URLs; archive captures containing later updates; inferred dates.

Practice and pass criterion. Produce a chronology with at least two distinct source types or mark BLOCKED if unavailable. **Boundary:** Do not attempt to retrieve non-public content from archives.

15.5 Case study D: Audit a professional's public project attribution

Concept and purpose. Entity correlation connects variants of project titles, organizational references and publication dates without assuming same-name records refer to the same person.

Situation. A professional finds different descriptions and creation years for one public project across a portfolio, code repository and conference listing.

Preparation and scope. Use only the professional's explicitly approved public material, plus relevant independently published professional references. Avoid private-person profiling.

Procedure.

```
"Example Project Title" -site:example.com
"Example Project Title" "interactive"
"Example Project Title" before:2025-01-01
```

1. Locate official project description, repository release or commit history, and third-party reference.
2. Keep development date, publication date and later platform-upload date separate.
3. Treat shared titles as candidate matches until attribution is independently established.
4. Report exact conflicting claims and the document supporting each.

AI prompt.

> Compare these approved public records for one project. Extract exact titles, dates, attribution and claims. Build an evidence-based identity match; do not merge records on name similarity alone. Mark unsupported equivalences UNKNOWN and recommend the smallest correction to the public record.